

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/601,037	Applicant(s) PODGORNIK ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) William E. Player.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2003

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed the changes to claim 63 to enhance the clarity of the claim. William E. Player then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Ernest G. Therkorn

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature -- if appropriate)